

575 SCHERERS COURT WORTHINGTON, OHIO 43085 614-841-2421 • 1-800-622-2200 FAX: 614-847-2395

November 14, 1995

William F. Caton Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington DC 20554 **DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

Dear Sir:

Enclosed you will find an original and nine copies of the Reply Comments made by Scherers Communications Group, Inc. Copies were as mailed to the original commentors to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Matter of Toll Free Service Access Codes, CC Docket No. 95-155.

Please feel free to contact me at the telephone listed above if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Susan Drombetta

Manager - Rates and Tariffs

enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd 019
List A B C D E

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

In the Matter of)			
) CC Docket No. 95-155			
Toll Free Service Access Codes)			
)			di Tabanan
		. e		أبية أخري وموجود
		SES.	2	
		m To	2	
		T S	0	annum B F A
REPLY COMMENTS OF		THE SHARE	Ŕ	~
SCHERERS COMMU	UNICATIONS GROUP, INC.			

Susan Drombetta Manager - Rates and Tariffs Scherers Communications Group, Inc. 575 Scherers Court Worthington OH 43085

Dated: November 14, 1995

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	3
II. RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL	4
III. LAG TIMES	7
IV. PARTITIONING	8
V. RESERVATION OF STRATEGIC NUMBERS	9
VI. SUMMARY	9

Before the

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	CC Docket No. 95-155
Toll Free Service Access Codes)	
)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF SCHERERS COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.

Scherers Communications Group, Inc. (SCG), hereby submits its Reply Comments concerning the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice) on Toll Free Service Access Codes, CC Docket No. 95-155 released on October 5, 1995. The Commission received comments from approximately 130 parties representing Interexchange Carriers, Local Exchange Providers, various industry associations, customers, and other interested parties. (The list of filing parties is attached as Appendix A)

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the wide variety of commentors, responses to the Notice were varied and, in most cases, dependent upon the sector represented. However, the commentors did agree on several points. First of all, most commentors support the Commission's involvement in the implementation of the 888 NPA. Because of the many issues involving allocations, assignment of numbers, network design, and download capacities, it was necessary to establish a means of hearing all aspects of the issues. The other issues upon which most commentors agreed were the necessity of affirmative customer requests prior to number reservations, the drawbacks of utilizing an escrow fund for reservations,

and the failure of SIC codes to prevent competitors from securing replicated numbers. As SCG has already stated its position on these issues in its previous comments, these reply comments will be limited to discussing the arguments presented by other commentors regarding right of first refusal, lag time modifications, partitioning of numbers by service offering, and the revision of Resp Org guidelines to establish a more even playing field for reservations of strategic numbers.

II. RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL

The reaction to the "right of first refusal" proposal was split almost entirely based upon the segment represented. Of the fourteen local exchange providers commenting, none supported the proposal, and ten actually opposed it. Interexchange carriers were mixed in their opinion, with less than half of commentors supporting the proposal. The majority of the customers who commented indicated their support of the "right of first refusal" when the 888 NPA becomes available. However, the presence of the stock phrase "widely known and accepted and utilized by consumers" in many of the letters

¹ See Comments by Allnet at 6, Ameritech at 31, Bell Atlantic at 8, Bellsouth Telecommunications at 15, GTE at 9, NYNEX at 7-8, Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell at 10, Southern New England Telephone Company at 12, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company at 16, and U. S. West Communications at 18.

² See Comments by AT&T at 23, LDDS Worldcom at 12, MCI Telecommunications at 15, and Promoline at 6.

³ See Comments by 1-800 Balloons, 1-800 Beargram, 1-800-Courier, 1 800 Flowers, 800-Discount, 800 USA Loan, AAA, Aeronautical Radio, American Magnetic Media, American Telegram, Applied Anagramics, Avery Dennison, Avis Rent-a-Car, Barnett Bank, Bass Pro Shops, Brinks Home Security, Crestar Bank, Del Webb Corp., Enterprise Rent-a-Car, Fruit Baskets Unlimited, Geico, HTH Inc., Hallmark Cards, Doug Hicks, Hilton Hotels Corp., Home Access Health, Huntington Services, Ingersoll Rand, Invesco, Johns Hopkins University, Kemper, Lifescan, Mueller, Nabisco, National General Insurance Co., New England Serum, Noritsu, Philbricks Sports Super Mart, Peachtree Fabrics, Private Citizen, Repairs Inc., Roadway Information, Rosenbluth, Ryan International Airlines, Selectel, Service Merchandise, South Winsor Sports Arena, Staples, TLDP Communications, Telcorp, Telmark, Terminix, The Money Store, The Weather Channel, Trench Shoring, U. S. Government General Services Administration, Vanity International, Warner Lambert, Weisleder, and ZAC Catalogs.

indicates that some coaching and soliciting have been done to support one particular carrier's position.⁴

We have chosen to display the responses in this manner to illustrate the fact that commentors aware of the industry as a whole are not supportive of the proposal to offer a "right of first refusal." The main concerns revolve around the quick exhaust of toll free numbers, the precedent set and perception of ownership implied, the inefficient use of resources, and the resulting customer confusion. It is evident that these concerns are directed toward maintaining the common good rather than protecting any individual customer interests. Even those commentors who support the "right of first refusal" stated that the ideal assignment process should be based upon "first come first served." An even greater concern is illustrated by the number of toll free subscribers who already believe that they own their current 800 number. This confusion will increase if replication is permitted. Allnet also submits the opinion that allowing replication in the toll free SAC may result in demands for replicated numbers in other NPAs. Setting this precedent will result in wide ranging effects for NPAs, particularly in light of the Commission's recent involvement in portability. Finally, the usefulness of the 888 NPA as a toll free SAC would be jeopardized by replication. Callers will assume that the same

⁴ See Comments by AT&T at 24, 1 800 Budget Getaways, AAA, Avery Dennison, Eleonore Brock, Crestar Bank, HTH Inc., Huntington Service Co., Ingersoll Rand, Johns Hopkins University, Kemper, Lifescan, Mueller, Nabisco, National General Insurance Co., Roadway Information Technology, Ryan International Airlines, and The Money Store.

⁵ See Comments by Ameritech at 31, Bell Atlantic at 7-8, BellSouth at 15, GTE at 9, NYNEX at 8, Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell at 10-14, Southern New England Telephone Company at 12, Southwestern Bell Telephone at 16-18, and U. S. West Communications at 18-24.

⁶ See Comments by AT&T at 13, LDDS Worldcom at 7, and MCI at 11.

⁷ See Comments by 1-800 Balloons, 1 800 Flowers, 800-Tickets, Applied Anagramics, J. Murray Green, Doug Hicks, Home Access Health, New England Serum Co., Mark D. Olson, Repairs Inc., Staples Direct, Telcorp, Vanity International, and Alan Wershman.

⁸ See Comments by Allnet at 10.

⁹ See <u>Telephone Number Portability</u>, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 95-116 (released October 10, 1995).

toll free subscriber will be reached regardless of the NPA used. This misconception can be prevented by disallowing the "right of first refusal."

Several suggestions were made in an effort to limit the replication of vanity numbers, including imposition of a fee for replication and limitations based upon call volumes on individual numbers or the embedded customer base of the Resp Org. 10 SCG believes that all of these suggestions will limit the ability of many Resp Orgs to do business if not forcing toll free subscribers to move their business to competitors. Smaller Resp Orgs do not possess the financial capital to invest in either one time or recurring fees for replication. Therefore, they will be forced to turn to the toll free subscriber to pay for these fees. This will place smaller Resp Orgs at a competitive disadvantage when trying to compete with wealthier, more established Resp Orgs. In addition, the larger Resp Orgs have developed a larger customer base which can be used to spread costs or utilize for a higher allocation. We also believe that it is unfair to treat lower volume customers any differently than those with higher volumes. If a Resp Org is encouraged to deal with only high volume customers, they will no longer allow subscribers to try risky, more innovative applications. The toll free industry as a whole will suffer. SCG also is concerned about establishing a 15% allocation for replication based upon the embedded customer base as AT&T has suggested. AT&T has not stated which customers we should refuse to replicate when our allocation has been exhausted. Once again, newer or smaller toll free subscribers would be penalized. The only way to protect competition in the marketplace is to treat all toll free subscribers in the same way.

SCG is aware, however, that customer interests are important. To this end, we reemphasize the benefits of a temporary set aside of numbers. Other commentors concur with this proposal, stressing the fact that customer concerns can be alleviated without the inefficient depletion of a valuable resource.¹² Their suggestions mirror SCG's proposal

¹⁰ See Comments by AT&T at 24, LDDS Worldcom at 13, and Promoline at 8.

¹¹ See Comments by AT&T at 27.

¹² See Comments by BellSouth at 15, NYNEX at 8, and U. S. West Communications at 23.

that vanity numbers be placed into a <u>temporary</u> pool until the public is educated. It is only through this option that customer needs will be weighed against the needs of all other current and future customers.

II. LAG TIMES

Several commentors stated that lag times for all statuses should be reduced to improve the pool of numbers available for assignment. However, the benefits resulting from such a move would be minimal in light of the drawbacks illustrated by other commentors. These drawbacks range include technical provisioning problems, heed for customer preparation, had misdirected calls. According to Bell Atlantic, "The periods in the Guidelines were established by the industry as a whole after careful consideration and debate, Bell Atlantic believes that they properly take into account factors such as the financial impact on users of newly disconnected numbers and the staleness of advertising materials." The lead times were discussed by the SMS/800 Number Administration Committee during the development of conservation measures. Although the reduction of lag times is beneficial during periods of conservation, the SNAC found that very valid reasons exist for the times established in the guidelines, contrary to the opinion stated by Promoline Inc. Reserved and assigned periods have been established to accommodate customer preparation and installation intervals. Suspend intervals have been developed to provide for seasonal operations. AirTouch Paging provided a specific example of the

¹³ See Comments by AT&T at 9, Americas Carrier Telecommunications Association at 11, Cable and Wireless Inc. at 2, Nextlink Inc. at 3, Paging Network Inc. at 6, Promoline Inc. at 3, Telco Planning Inc. at 2, Telecompute Corp. at 2, and Time Warner Inc. at 3.

¹⁴ See Comments by MCI at 4 and Sprint at 5.

¹⁵ See Comments by Direct Marketing Association at 2.

¹⁶ See Comments by NIMA International at 4 and Telecommunications Resellers Association at 7

¹⁷ See Comments by Bell Atlantic at 3-4.

¹⁸ See Comments by Promoline at 3.

reasoning for the current time frames for disconnect status listed in the guidelines.¹⁹ During conservation, the disconnect interval has been reduced, with prompt reassignment causing increased dialing errors and billing problems. In this case, the customer needs are the driving force. The problems encountered with lag times can only be addressed by those who have experienced the results of the decisions made. SCG respectfully suggests that the Commission defer to the decisions made by the industry based upon the members' experience in this matter.

III. PARTITIONING

Several commentors suggested that partitioning would be the ideal solution to the exhaust situation. Their reasoning for this change is based upon the unprecedented growth in certain toll-free applications, such as paging and personal 800.²⁰ While this information is correct, the problems associated with the immediate implementation of such a plan are obvious. Customer confusion will be increased because it will be necessary to change large volumes of numbers in order to accommodate such a plan. In addition, billing problems will be increased due to dialing errors. In addition, customers will be unsure of what they will be billed for placing the call, since the numbers will be different from other toll free NPAs. These drawbacks are well documented by many commentors.²¹ These commentors have experienced the same types of problems in similar situations. Therefore, SCG asks the Commission once again to defer to the industry members' opinions. Based upon all the comments rendered, SCG continues to support its position against partitioning.

¹⁹ See Comments by AirTouch Paging at 16.

²⁰ See Comments by 800 Users Coalition at 10, Aeronautical Radio, Inc. at 2, Communications Managers Association at 1, Telco Planning at 4, and Vanity International at 4

²¹ See Comments by AirTouch Paging at 13, Bell Atlantic at 9, Telecommunications Resellers Association at 20, and Unitel Communications Inc. at 4

IV. RESERVATION OF STRATEGIC NUMBERS

In its comments, MCI states that "MCI believes there is no reason for the FCC to take any action on the use of multiple or mechanized interfaces by RespOrgs."²² Contrary to this belief, history provides the example used in SCG's initial comments.²³ Other commentors have expressed the same wish that the Commission intercede on the behalf of smaller Resp Orgs to offer new codes to all Resp Orgs on an equal footing.²⁴ We do not ask the mechanized users to give up their technology when transmitting high volumes of records. We only ask that mechanized reservations be kept at the same level of processing that manual reservations would use. Equitable reservations of numbers cannot be realized if one entity has the capacity to reserve 1000 numbers per minute while others are limited technologically to 10. Limitations on reservations in a specific time frame will not impede any company's ability to conduct business. It will simply extend the amount of time necessary to complete the transaction. However, if smaller Resp Orgs are unable to have equal access to strategic numbers, they will lose business. Mechanized generic interfaces were developed when larger interexchange and local carriers were the main clients of the data base. The client base has now changed, and all clients have the right to equal access regardless of technological and economic status. SCG asks the Commission to review the situation and assist these new players to achieve equal status in the playing field.

V. SUMMARY

It is gratifying to SCG that many issues raised in the Commission's Notice have been answered with the consensus of the commentors. However, we do ask the Commission to cautiously and painstakingly review the comments and their sources to establish a position on each of the following issues: lag times, partitioning, and

²² See Comments by MCI at 11

²³ See Comments by Scherers Communications Group at 10.

²⁴ See Comments by Cable & Wireless at 9 and Southern New England Telephone Company at 10

reservations of strategic telephone numbers. However, we ask the Commission to take the greatest care in reviewing the issue of replication. While the majority of toll free subscribers expressed approval for replication, it is important to note that these customers are most concerned with their own business livelihood. Those commentors who have the interests of all customers in mind, local exchange providers and interexchange carriers, are mostly in agreement that replication should be avoided.

Respectfully submitted,

Scherers Communications Group, Inc.

Susan Drombetta

Manager - Rates and Tariffs

575 Scherers Court Worthington OH 43085 (614) 841-2421

Dated: November 14, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of November, 1995, copies of Reply

Comments of Scherers Communications Group, Inc., were served by first class mail,
postage prepaid, on the following shown as Appendix A.

Susan Drombetta

Susan Dranketts

APPENDIX A

1-800-Balloon Braden Lutz 371 Eighth Ave. #C-1 San Diego CA 92101

1-800-Budget Getaway Bruce Shulman 5728 Major Blvd. Ste.200 Orlando FL 32819

1-800-Flowers Chris McCann 1600 Stewart Ave. Westbury NY 11590

1-800-Courier Richard Guglielmi 1117 Lincoln Ct. Cape Coral Fl 33904

1-800-Discount John Hartman P.O. Box 87 Englishtown NJ 07726

1-800-USA Loan Vincent Keenan Jr. 333 Elm St. Dedham MA 02026

800 Users Coalition Colleen Boothby 1300 Connecticut Ave. NW Ste 500 Washington DC 20036

AAA Larry Hall 12901 North Forty Dr. St Louis MO 63141

AT & T Corporation Mark Rosenblum 295 North Maple Rm. 3244J1 Basking Ridge NJ 07920

Aeronautical Radio Lawrence Chesto 2551 Riva Rd. Annapolis MD 21401 Airtouch Paging Carl Northrop 700 Thirteenth St. NW Ste.700 Washington DC 20005

Airtouch Paging Mark Stachiw 12221 Merit Dr. Ste. 800 Dallas TX 75251

Allnet Communications Roy Morris 1900 M Street, NW Ste. 500 Washington DC 20036

American Magnetic Media Inc. Jeffery Martin 5580 Havana Unit 6A Denver CA 80239

American Telegram Corp. Glenn Richards 2001 Pennsylvania Ave NW Ste.400 Washington D.C. 20006

American Car Rental Assoc. T. Michael Jankowski 3050 K Street NW Washington D.C. 20007

American Petroleum Institute C. Douglas Jarrett 1001 G St. NW Suite 500 W Washington D.C. 20001

Americas Carrier Telecomm. Charles Helein 8180 Greensboro Dr. Ste. 700 McLean VA 22102

Ameritech
Gary Phillips
1401 H Street, NW Ste. 1020
Washington D.C. 2005

Applied Anagramics R.D. Goodman P.O. Box 9035 Santa Rosa CA 95405 1-800-Tickets International Alan Werksman 160 SW 12th Ave. Ste.101B Deerfield Beach FL 33442

The Associated Group Ed Leer 1099 N. Meridian St. Ste. 1100 Indianapolis IN 46204

Avery Dennison Kathleen Ritchie One Clarks Hill Framingham MA 01701

Avis Rent A Car Melanie Haratunian 1100 New York Ave.NW Ste.650 E. Washington D.C. 20554

Barnett Technologies, Inc. John C. DuBose P.O. Box 44145 Jacksonville FL 32231

Bass Pro Shops Arley Clark 1935 South Campbell Springfield MO 65898

Bass Tickets
David Urman
P.O. Box 272127
Concord CA 94527-2127

Bell Atlantic John Goodman 1133 20th St. NW Washington DC 20036

BellSouth Telecommunications M. Robert Sutherland 675 W. Peachtree St. NE Atlanta GA 30375

Edna Bobbitt 354 El Arbol Dr. Bellvue CO 80512-6319 Brinks Home Security Larry Tramel 1628 Valwood Parkway Carrollton TX 75006

Cable & Wireless Inc. Rachel Rothstein 8219 Leesburg Pkie Vienna VA 22182

CMA
Matthew O'Brien
1201 Mt Kemble Ave.
Morristown MJ 07960

Competitive Telecommunications. Genevieve Morelli 1140 Connecticut Ave., NW Ste.200 Washington D.C. 20036

Crestar Bank Wayne Rapp 7818 Parham Richmond VA 23294

Tansin A. Darcos and Company Paul Robinson 8604 Second Ave. #104 Silver Spring MD 20910

Joel DeFabio Attorney At Law 2121 Ponce De Leon Blvd. Ste.430 Coral Gables FL 33134

Del Webb Corporation Barbara Fliszar 6001 North 24th St. Phoenix AZ 85016

Direct Marketing Assoc. Ian Volner 1201 New York Ave. NW Ste. 1000 Washingto D.C. 20005

Enterprise Rent A Car William B. Wilhelm 3000 K St. NW Ste. 300 Washingto D.C. 20007 First Digital Robert Johnson 5627 Sepulveda Blvd., Ste. 213 Van Nuys CA 91411

Fruit Baskets Unlimited Robert Duguay P.O. Box 88 Beacon Falls CT 06403

GTE
David Gudino
1850 M Street NW Ste.1200
Washington DC 20036

Geico J.C. Reed One Geico Plaza Washington D.C. 20554

General Services Administration Jody Burton 18th & F Streets, N.W., Rm.4002 Washington D.C. 20405

HTH Inc.
William Elmer
917 N. Pennsylvania ave.
Winter Park FL 32789

Hallmark Cards Mick Haney 2501 McGee, MD #533 Kansas City MO 64108

Heartland Express
William Carpenter, Jr.
2777 Heartland Dr.
Coralville IA 52241

Doug Hicks 1607 Pinellas Rd. Belleair FL 34616

Hilton Reservations Worldwide Sam Perry P.O. Box 115060 Carrollton TX 75011 Hilton Hotel Corporations Jeffrey Diskin 9336 Civic Center Dr. Beverly Hills CA 90210

Hilton Hotel Corporations John Brinker 9336 Civic Center Dr. Beverly Hills Ca 90210

Hilton Hotel Corporations Robert Dirks 9336 Civic Center Dr. Beverly Hills CA 90210

Home Access Health Tracey Powell 2401 W. Hassell Rd. Hoffman Estates IL 60195

Huntington Service Co. Rick Sellers P.O. Box 1558 Columbus OH 43216

Hyatt Hotels and Resorts Christine Brosnahan 9805 Q Street Omaha NE 68127

Industrial Packing Charlie Swan P.O. Box Drawer N Bucksport ME 04416

Ingersoll-Rand Lu Ann Handy P.O. Box 1840 Davidson NC 28036

Invesco Funds Glen A. Payne 7800 E. Union Ave. Denver CO 80237

Johns Hopkins University Murray Ryan 3400 N. Charles St. Baltimore MD 21218 Judith St. Ledger-Roty 1301 K St. NW Suite 1100 East Tower Washington, DC 20005

William M. Bullard Peachtree Fabrics, Inc. 1400 English St. Atlanta, GA 30318

Mark J. Golden PCIA 1019 19th St. NW St.1100 Washington, DC 20036

Jane A. Murphy Philbrick's Sports Store 161 Portland Ave. Dover, NH 03820

Edwin Lavergne Promoline, Inc. 1250 Connecticut Ave, NW Washington, DC 20036

Sue W. Bladek PRTC 901 15th St. NW, ST. 900 Washington, DC 20005

Cheryl A. Tritt 200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Suite 5500 Washington, DC 20006

Kerry P. Lauricella Repairs, Inc. 6226 Jefferson Highway St.G New Orleans, LA 70123

Thomas C. Lonsbury RIT 1077 Gorge Blvd. PO Box 3558 Akron, OH 44309-3558

Julie Stewart Rosenbluth International 2401 Walnut St. Philadelphia, PA 19103-4390

Ronald D. Ryan Ryan International Airlines 6810 West Kellogg Wichita, KS 67209-2218 NGIC Gerald Syberg P.O. Box 66937 St. Louis MO 63166

Nabisco Orest Fiume 100 DeForest Ave. E. Hanover NJ 07936

New England Serum Co. Mark McSweeney P.O. BOX 128 U.S. Route 1 Topsfield MA 01983

NIMA International Jeffrey Knowles 1201 New York Ave. NW Washington DC 20005

Noritsu Michael Lammers 6900 Noritsu Ave. Buena Park CA 90622

Norwest Technical Services Bob Heise 255 Second Ave. South Minneapolis MN 55479

NYNEX Telephone William Balcerski 1111 Westchester Ave. White Plains NY 10604

Oceans Eleven
Pat Todia
2025 S. Atlantic Ave.
Daytona Beach Shores FL 32118

Mark Olson 410 W. Badillo St. 2nd floor Covina CA 91723

Olsten Corp. Gail Meyer 175 Broad Hollow Rd. Melville NY 11747 Kemper Insurance Companies Linda Thompson Orfanos One Kemper Dr. Long Grove IL 60049

LCI International Douglas W. Kinkoph 8180 Greensboro Dr. Ste. 800 McLean VA 22102

LDDS Worldcom Inc. Richard Whitt 11220 Connecticut Ave.NW Ste400 Washington D.C. 20036

Lifescan Michael Singh 1000 Gilbraltar Dr. Milpitas CA 95035

Loewen Group Katie Jenkins 4126 Norland Ave. Burnaby British Columbia V5G3S8

MCI Telecommunications Loretta Garcia 1801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington DC 20006

MFS Communications Company Andrew Lipman 3000 K Street NW Washington DC 20007

National Telephone Cooperative Pamela Sowar 2626 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington DC 20037

Nextlink, Inc. Glenn Manishin 1615 M Street, NW Ste. 700 Washington DC 20036

Mueller Industries, Inc. Richard Miller 2959 N. Rock Rd. Wichita KS 67278 Eddie Alldredge 125 E. Baker St. Suite 120 West Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Susan M. Miller 1200 G Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Gary V. Pack Service Merchandise P.O. Box 24600 Nashville, TN 37202-4600

Robert M. Schwimer c/o Sisco 1390 Richmond Terrace Staten Island, N.Y. 10310

Richard Grigorian South Windsor Arena 585 John Fitch Blvd. Rt 5 South Windsor, CT 06074

Eugene J. Baldrate Director-Federal Regulatory 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06506

Robert M. Lynch Attorney-at-Law One Bell Center, Rm 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101

Michael Zlyich Spiegel, Inc. 800 Pasquinelli Dr. Westmont, IL 60559

Leon M. Kestenbaum Sprint Corporation 1850 M. St., N.W., St. 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

Ronald L. Sargent Staples, Inc. 8 Technology Dr., St. 200 Westborough, MA 01581

Robert L. Stitt 600 W. South St. Apt. 208 Kansas City, MO 64114-5927 Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth 1300 North 17th St. 11th Floor Rosslyn, VA 22209

D. Kelly Daniels 808 The Pittock Block 921 S.W. Washington St. Portland, OR 97205

Raymond V. Glynn Telcorp 2550 W. Golf Rd. Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

Hunter & Mow, P.C. 1620 I Street, N.W. Suite 701 Washington, D.C. 20006

W.E. Miller, Jr. Telecompute Corporation 1275 K Street N.W., St. G-9 Washington, D.C. 20005

Gregory M. Casey, Esq. 6707 Democracy Blvd. Suite 800 Bethesda, MD 20817

John F. Gillen Telmark 526 W. Wisconsin Ave. Appleton, WI 54911

Eugene D. Gauthreaux Terminix International 860 Ridge Lake Boulevard Memphis, TN 38120

Charles P. Cannata
The Money Store
355 E. Campus View Bd.St 190
Columbus, OH 43235

Edwin N. Lavergne The Wheather Channel 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Leboeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae Time Warner 1875 Connecticut Av.NW St1200 Washington, D.C. 20009 Janice Dubose Trench Shoring Services 6770 East 56th Ave. Commerce City, CO 80022

John V. Kenny USSC 1055 N. Fairfax Street, St 201 Alexandria, VA 22314

Gregory L. Cannon U.S. West Communications, Inc. 1020 19th St., NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Mary McDermott U.S. Telephone Association 1401 H St. NW St. 600 Washington, DC 20005

Brooke R. Weisleder Weisleder Telecommunications 2520 Highway 35 Manasquan, NJ 08736

Len Dozois Zac Catalogs 106 Access Road Norwood, MA 02062